REMARKS

The specification was objected for informalities that have been corrected pursuant to the examiner's requirements. The drawings have been objected to in view of the objections to the specification. The specification having been so appropriately amended transverses the objection to the drawings. Claims 5-14 were rejected for indefinite claiming. Applicant requests reconsideration. The claims 5-14 have been amended pursuant to the examiner's requirements. Claims 9-14 having been so amended are now

in condition for allowance, respectfully requested.

Surviving claim 5 was rejected as unpatentable over Phillips, USSR, Hosman, Vogeley or Blass. Claim 6 was rejected as unpatentable over USSR, or Hosman in view of Hettlage. Claim 7 was rejected as unpatentable over Phillips in view of Hettlage. Claim 8 should have been objected to as depending on rejected claim 5. Applicant requests reconsideration.

Applicant extends sincere appreciation for the outstanding search by the examiner locating many relevant patents. Applicant has withdrawn claims 1-4 without prejudice.

28 | ///

The specification teaches:

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

1

"The conventional waveguide switch has two selectable position settings for aligning two curved waveguide section bends symmetrical about a rotating axis. The curved selectable waveguide section does not use reflecting surfaces, but rather circular or rectangular cross section waveguide sections."; "The bent waveguide section 20 and the straight waveguide section 14 can have either a square or circular cross section and sized for the frequencies of interest; and "The wavequide cross sections 14 and 20 remains unaltered from the antenna feed port 10 to either of the linear port 22 and the circular port 16. The cross section areas of the waveguide sections 14 and 20 remain fixed within the selectable waveguide. Because the waveguide cross section remains unchanged, no mechanism exists for polarization modifications from antenna feed port 10 through the waveguide sections 14 and 20 to the ports 22 and 16. Consequently, the waveguide does not degrade polarization isolation. The waveguide cross sections 14 and 20 may be square and in this case the signals are propagated on TE01 and TE10 waveguide modes. The waveguide cross section can also be circular and the signals 18 and 24 are propagated on orthogonal TEll wavequide modes. Hence, the waveguide cross section of the sections 14 and 20 is preferably preserved throughout the rotating member 30."

25

26

27

28 | ///

The present inventions have two significant defining characteristics to solve a particularly problem. The first characteristics is that the two waveguide sections have a different shape respecting each other, that is, one section is straight and the other is bent at 45 degrees. The other characteristic is that the cross-sectional area of the waveguides must be such that there is no coupling between orthogonally polarized signals, that is, the cross-sections are is either circular or square, so that orthogonal signals may simultaneously propagate through the waveguides without being distorted by each other and thereby remain isolated from each other, to thereby solve the undisclosed problem of concurrent communication of orthogonal signals through either one of the selectable waveguides. Claim 5 was amended to claim that the shapes are either straight or bent at ninety degrees, and have cross sectional area that is either square or circular for enabling the concurrent communication of orthogonally polarized signals through the waveguides.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

The cited do not solve the problem of providing dual port routing of concurrently communicated orthogonally polarized signals. In particular, Hosman discloses a curved waveguide 19, Lanctot 117' discloses a rectangular waveguide shown clear in Figure 1, Hettlage discloses a curved waveguide 9, Phillips discloses curved waveguides 35, 30 and 32, Vogeley shows a disjointed waveguide 22 and 14 cause distortion of or coupling between orthogonally polarized signals. Blass discloses a rectangular cross section of waveguide 6. Tyrrell discloses curved waveguides 12 and 13. Lanctot 079' discloses rectangular waveguides

12 and 13. Miller discloses a rectangular waveguides Y, X1 and X2. USSR discloses only a single waveguide section. These waveguides are unsuitable for the solving the problem solved by the present inventions.

The cited references do not teach nor suggest a switch having straight and bent waveguides having square or circular cross sections for routing signals to a pair ports enabling concurrent communications of orthogonally polarized signals remaining isolated from each other during concurrent communication through either one of the waveguides. In this unique configuration, isolated orthogonally polarized signals can be concurrently communicated and routed to the selected port without distorting each other. Surely, the cited references to not teach the problem solved by the present inventions. Allowance of the claims 5-8 is respectfully requested.

Date: 07/07/00

and Trademarks, Washington D.C. 20231.

Gerrick Michael Reid

Derrick Michael Reid

Respectfully Submitted

Derrick Michael Reid

Derrick Michael Reid

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

in the United States Postal Service in an envelope with First Class

full postal prepaid thereon addressed to: Commissioner of Patent

I, hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited